

**MR DONALD JOHN TRUMP:
HE MAY WELL BE THE BETTER CHOICE OF
THE NEXT PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

After months of listening to that which many people have proclaimed were the rantings and ravings of Mr Donald John Trump, one of the two political candidates, left in the race for the right to sleep in the White House, located at Number 1600, Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C., as well as to don the purple-dyed, toga picta of office as the most-powerful leader of the free world, it does appear that this Republican Party's candidate is the better choice, compared with his lone, Democratic Party's female rival.

Mrs Hillary Diane Rodham Clinton, the Democratic Party's Presidential candidate, who is competing with Mr Donald John Trump in order to hang her shingle over the front door of the White House, is, aside from the fact that she appears to be suffering from nagging and chronic health problems, carries too many burdens on her shoulders, those that she created, either by accident or design, from her not-too-distant past.

It is obvious that both candidates are not, exactly, the Presidential material that the world had come to expect of years gone by, but they are the only two candidates, left standing.

The democratic system of the Government of The United States of America has its many drawbacks, but it is the system that has become accepted by the human population in The Land of The Free and The Home of The Brave.

The Presidential Nominating Process of The United States of America, leading to the selection of the lone person to become the head of the Government, is the most-lengthy, most-tiresome, most-expensive and most-complex of any other country in the world.

It, too, has its drawbacks, as have been made abundantly clear over the past eight months or so.

And the human population of the country, numbering about 322 million, men, women and children, accept it and have been taught, over the years, to honour the determinations of the electorate, rightly or wrongly.

The type of democracy, practised in The United States of America, may not be the best form of government for today's world, but it is far better than any dictatorship, paternal, maternal or otherwise, ever devised by man by a very wide margin.

The bona fide electorate of The United States of America does not, always, select the best person to be the President of the country, but often chooses a candidate, based more upon impulse rather than the candidate's credentials and common sense: President Barack Hussein Obama, for instance, was chosen by the electorate to lead the country because, aside from any other meritorious attributes that he possessed, he was the country's first, acceptable African-American, Presidential candidate.

Mrs Hillary Diane Rodham Clinton is the Democratic Party's, first Presidential candidate – who is a female.

Thus, even with the heavy '*baggage*' that she carries from her past deeds and misdeeds, she may, still, be selected by the electorate, simply because she is a female.

Time will tell.

The Reasons that TARGET () Prefers Mr Trump to Mrs Clinton

Of the two Presidential candidates, only Mr Donald John Trump carries his adornments on his shirt sleeve for all to see.

In the words of Edmond Rostand in the play, Cyrano de Bergerac:

*'I carry my adornments on my soul.
I do not dress up like a popinjay;
But inwardly, I keep my daintiness.
I do not bear with me, by any chance,
An insult not yet washed away — a conscience
Yellow with unpurged bile — an honour frayed
To rags, a set of scruples.
I go caparisoned in gems unseen,
Trailing white plumes of freedom, garlanded
With my good name — no figure of a man,
But a soul clothed in shining armour, hung
With deeds for decorations, twirling — thus —
A bristling wit, and swinging at my side
Courage, and on the stones of this old town
Making the sharp truth ring, like golden spurs!'*

Mr Donald John Trump is far from being cut from any diplomatic bolt of cloth, but, at the same time, this man is quite apt to tell it the way that he sees it – and many people appreciate this characteristic of this multi-billionaire.

He is not, always, correct, but, compared with his only rival, he is lily-white in his determinations.

That which he does not understand, fully, or to any great extent, he gathers around him more knowledgeable people who can instruct him when needs be such.

That which he may not appreciate, today, is that, unbeknownst to him, he could well be recorded in the history books of the future to have been something of a social philosopher.

He may well go down in history as such, although, today, such an idea may sound implausible by his many fiery orations.

By the tone and complexion of his off-the-cuff speeches and media interviews, he has only a rudimentary, working knowledge of the English language, but, at the same time, he understands how *'to play'* to his audience in a language that is fully appreciated by the people that he attempts to seduce to his way of thinking.

He knows, for instance, about the whole and the divided, the congruous and the incongruous, the harmonious and the discordant: These are all indissolubly linked; and, the one is created from its parts; and, all parts come from the one.

Mr Donald John Trump knows, by his many allusive or oblique remarks, during his speeches and interviews, that everything is a matter of relativity and comparisons; and, that the study of particulars is the study of ever-changing relationships.

He, also, appreciates that the measure is in accordance with that which particulars bow to change.

Probably, Mr Donald John Trump does not understand or realised, fully, the implications of the above concepts and he may not be completely conversant with them, but, nevertheless, he employs all of them in his rhetoric – and it results, very often, in him, obtaining that which he covets.

He, very often, attempts to shock his audience, by making statements of a philosophical bent – without even realising it!

Mr Donald John Trump understands that his audience cannot know of justice without having a knowledge of injustice: The unity of opposites, if you will.

And so, in this respect, he points out, in his many stentorian speeches, the injustices of aspects of the existing, American way of life.

He riles up his audiences of the long-standing, racial intemperance that continues to sweep through the country, resulting, only too often, in tragic consequences.

Those, who are bound to listen to him when he isolates and, then, extrapolates and interpolates a certain ignominious aspect of today's American society in order that his audience may understand the enormity of the problem, he suggests methods to achieve justice in the language of all:

'Something must be done!'
and
'I promise I will fix it!'

That which he is often suggesting, without stating it as such, is the harmonious arrangement, or the relationship of the parts, or the elements, within the whole.

This has been made very clearly in his frequent attacks on the past actions, and inactions, of Mrs Hillary Diane Rodham Clinton when she held the very high position as The Secretary of State in the Administration of President Barack Hussein Obama.

Mr Donald John Trump is not very interested in the well-worn clichés and outdated traditions of days of yore and that which some of the former leaders of the country may have advocated.

He repeatedly questions the electorate with regard to the need for change in the country:

'What have you got to lose?'

And, then, he answers his rhetorical question in one word:

'Nothing!'

He is not crafty; he is not wily; he is, simply: Mr Donald John Trump.

-- **E N D** --

***While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published,
TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.***

*If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that which they have read in **TARGET**, please feel free to e-mail your views to editor@targetnewspapers.com. **TARGET** does not guarantee to publish readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the laws of libel.*