

**THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN:  
PRESIDENT BARACK HUSSEIN OBAMA'S NEMESIS !**

As political moderates in The United States of America await the commencement of the resumed talks between The Islamic Republic of Iran and the P5+1 – Great Britain, The Russian Federation, the People's Republic of China, The French Republic and The Federal Republic of Germany – scheduled for next week in Geneva, Switzerland, Iran took the opportunity to launch a bevy of some of its newest ballistic missiles, with one, particular missile, designed to obliterate 'all types of enemy military equipment'.

Using the excuse for the launching of Iran's newest array of long-range, military missiles in order to celebrate the 35<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of The (1979) Islamic Revolution, President Hasan Fereidun Ruhani, last Tuesday, decided to back up the missile launches with a little ditty of his own:

*'I say explicitly, if some (nations) have delusions of having any threats against Iran on their tables, they need to wear new glasses. There is no military option against Iran on any table in the world.'*

Israel has gone on record, stating definitively, that it would not tolerate a nuclear-armed Iran.

The US has not ruled out a 'surgical' military strike on Iran if needs be such.

President Ruhani, also, said in language that could be described as being bellicose:

*'The Revolution (of 1979) started because people didn't want to accept humiliation.'*

*'Is it possible for the Great Revolutionary people ... it is possible for this nation to accept humiliation by foreign powers or America after 35 years?'*

*'It is as if they (foreign powers) have not recognised the great nation of Iran. They don't have the right understanding and knowledge of the Iranian people.'*

Last November, Iran came into an agreement with the P5+1 whereby it was agreed that it would scale back certain aspects of its nuclear activities for six months in exchange for the relaxation of certain international sanctions, imposed by the United Nations.

While Iran's President could have been described in some quarters as having been somewhat conciliatory with regard to the launching of his country's newest and most-advanced ballistic missiles, Iran's Defence Minister, Brigadier Hossein Dehqan, gave much more dramatic explanations about the country's newest military hardware.

He said, among other things, that Iran's long-range, ballistic missiles could evade detection of enemy anti-missile defences and have '*the capability of destroying massive targets and destroying multiple targets*'.

**What the P5+1 Hope to Achieve**

The US and its friends and allies are hoping to obtain firm commitments from the Government of Iran,

during the Geneva talks, that would lead to the signing of an Accord – a balanced interrelationship between Iran and the United Nations.

US President Barack Hussein Obama has staked his reputation, to a very great extent, on the outcome of the Iran talks.

The delicate political situation in Iran, today, is, quite clearly, one of the biggest, international thorns that is irritating (and frightening) a great many nations, especially those small states of the Middle East.

The sticky North Korea situation pales in comparison when placed alongside that of the clear suggestion of bellicosity from Iran's President.

And President Ruhani has put his country's cards, face up, on the international table for all to view should they care to test the will of Iran.

The recent statements of President Ruhani have taken him out of the realm of being an Iranian, political moderate to that of a prospective hard-liner.

Therefore, today, President Obama may rule out the probability of Iran, becoming a partner with the US in order to secure a modicum of regional security in the Middle East.

President Obama's political dreams, in the view of **TARGET** (), are doomed to fail: His house of cards with regard to Iran is quite likely to collapse around him before the year is out.

In the words of Robert Burns' poem, 'To a Mouse', written in 1786:

*But, Mousie, thou art no thy lane  
In proving foresight may be vain:  
The best laid schemes o'mice an' men  
Gang aft a-gley,  
An' lea'e us nought but grief an' pain,  
For promised joy.*

Iran's international policy has, over the past few decades, been to cooperate with the likes of Al-Qaeda and other Sunni extremist organisations.

The country has shown its friendship to Hamas – the militant Palestinian Islamic movement in the West Bank and Gaza Strip that is dedicated to the destruction of Israel and the creation of an Islamic state in Palestine – and to The Taliban, the ultraconservative political and religious faction that emerged in Afghanistan, in the mid 1990s, following the withdrawal of Soviet troops, the collapse of Afghanistan's communist regime, and the subsequent breakdown in civil order.

But Iran only supports religious extremist movements when it suits its purposes.

The complete and utter obliteration of the State of Israel from the face of the earth is one of the principal goals of the theocratic Government of Iran and, if Al-Qaeda, Hamas, and etc can help in this objective, then, Iran will not shrink to fund the terrorist entity, overtly or, if needs be such, covertly.

While the strongest nation in the world, today, in terms of military and economic might, wants to get Iran to see the world through its (democratic) eyes, Iran views the world through its narcissistic, theocratic eyes: It sees itself as being the only country to lead the Middle East in what it maintains is the correct political (and religious) direction, obtaining a preponderance of influence over the smallest states of the region.

It is well known that Iran is giving a great deal of support to President Bashar al-Assad of The Syrian Arab Republic. Aside from supplying arms and ammunition to this war-torn country, Iran has, also, been supplying the country with Hezbollah fighters to stand, side-by-side, with the soldiers of the Syrian Army.

Iran wants President Bashar Hafez al-Assad to stay in power even though the International Court of Justice, the principal judicial organ of the United Nations, and the International Criminal Court want the Syrian leader to be held to account for the deaths of at least 120 anti-Government protesters.

If Iran is permitted to continue with its nuclear programme, de facto or de jure, resulting in the country, being a nuclear power, other Middle Eastern countries will seek to obtain their own weapons of mass destruction.

Israel will be forced to act.

The result could well be a disaster ... for the entire world.

-- E N D --

*While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published,  
TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.*

*If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that which they have read in  
TARGET, please feel free to e-mail your views to [editor@targetnewspapers.com](mailto:editor@targetnewspapers.com). TARGET  
does not guarantee to publish readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the  
laws of libel.*