

BEWARE THE MIGHT OF THE PARMANAND FAMILY !

The might of some of the top ethnic Indians, resident of the Hongkong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) of the People's Republic of China (PRC), appears to have come, crashing down on some members of the Dariyanani/Daryanani Family – Mr Girish Daryanani and Ms Nitu Parshottam Dariyanani, the last-named person, purportedly trading as Nirvaan International Company.

Messrs Larry Parmanand (alias Nari Parmanand) and his brother, Dilip Parmanand, trading as Parmanand (Hongkong) Ltd and Royal Media Creations Ltd, among others, have issued Legal Proceedings in the HKSAR High Court, seeking an Injunction of the 2 members of the Daryanani/Dariyanani Family and the trading firm, which is alleged to be under their control.

In a telephone call to Mr Dilip Paramanand, **TARGET** () was told: *'I don't want to talk about it (the Legal Proceedings) ... it's a personal matter ...'*.

When **TARGET** explained that the matter is, in fact, now public with the issuance of HKSAR High Court Action, Number 1053, Mr Dilip Paramanand backtracked and said: *'That's fine! ... I still don't want to talk about it'* – and, then, he slammed down the telephone, obviously in a fit of anger or something or other.

So much for good Indian manners.

The Indorsement of Claim, attached to Writ of Summons, Number 1053, states:

- '1. *An injunction to restrain the 1st, 2nd and 3rd Defendants or its directors, officers employees, agents, servants or affiliated/associated companies or any of them or otherwise whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere in the world from:-*
 - a. *soliciting or enticing or advertising or promoting or supplying or selling or offering for sale or otherwise dealing with any customers or manufacturers of the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs that forms part of the Plaintiffs' database;*
 - b. *disclosing, divulging or using or retaining any Confidential Information including documents, computer data or any other materials belonging to the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs relating to the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs database containing information of its customers and manufacturers;*
 - c. *using or selling or supplying products or otherwise howsoever from infringing the 2nd Plaintiff's Registered Trade Mark No. 301357542 of "Dr. Fizzi-O" (or any mark colourably similar thereto);*
- '2. *An order for delivery up or destruction on oath of all Confidential Information, including documents, computer data or articles or any materials the use or retention of that are in possession, power or custody of the Defendants, their servants or agents which would constitute a breach of the foregoing injunction;*
- '3. *An order for discovery on oath by the Defendants of all matter relating to the foregoing.*

- ‘4. *Damages to be assessed for breach of contract and/or breach of confidence and/or breach of duties of fidelity and/or infringement of registered trade mark and an inquiry as to damages or, at the Plaintiffs’ option, an account of profits made by the Defendants by reason of their breach of contract and/or breach of confidence and/or breach of duties of fidelity and/or infringement of registered trade mark;*
- ‘5. *An order for the payment of all sums found to be due to the Plaintiff upon making such assessment and inquiries and/or accounts together with such interest thereon as this Honourable Court shall deem just pursuant to sections 48 and 49 of the High Court Ordinance, Cap. 4;...*’

Mr ... [CLICK TO ORDER FULL ARTICLE](#)

***While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published,
TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.***

***If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that
which they have read in TARGET, please feel free to e-mail your views to
editor@targetnewspapers.com. TARGET does not guarantee to publish
readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the laws of libel.***