## TONIC INDUSTRIES HOLDINGS LTD: JUST HOW BAD IS THE SITUATION AT THIS CONSUMER ELECTRONICS PRODUCER ?

Since the last time that this medium wrote about Tonic Industries Holdings Ltd () (Code: 978, Main Board, The Stock Exchange of Hongkong Ltd), legal claims have been fired at this producer of consumer electronic products, one after another.

In this month, alone, 2 wholly owned subsidiaries of Tonic Industries Holdings Ltd have been sued twice for more than \$HK12 million, in aggregate.

The larger of the 2 claims was the one, filed in the High Court of the Hongkong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) of the People's Republic of China (PRC), the Plaintiff, being Multimedia Devices Ltd, while the Defendants are:

Tonic Electronics Ltd () Tonic Digital Products Ltd () 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant

The Plaintiff is a wholly owned subsidiary of Alco Holdings Ltd () (Code: 328, Main Board, The Stock Exchange of Hongkong Ltd).

There is only an Endorsement of Claim, attached to Writ of Summons, Number 1943, and this Endorsement of Claim alleges that the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant owes the Plaintiff money in respect of Goods, Sold and Delivered from November 2008 up to June 30, 2009.

The value of those goods, it is alleged, is \$US1,167,598.78 (about \$HK9.11 million).

Also, between April 15, 2009, and June 3, 2009, it is alleged that the Plaintiff Sold and Delivered Goods to the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant to the value of \$US19,240 (about \$HK150,072).

In addition, the Plaintiff alleges that it delivered to the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant certain goods on a consignment basis, the combined value of those goods, being \$US63,541.48 (about \$HK495,623.54) and \$HK18,375.

There is, also, an amount of \$US4,125 (about \$HK32,175), being a mistaken overcharged amount, paid by the Plaintiff to the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant.

Lastly, in respect of the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant, it is alleged that it owes to the Plaintiff the sum of \$US2,204 (about \$HK17,191), being the aggregate value of '38 units of RT2870 sent by the Plaintiff to the 1<sup>st</sup> Defendant for testing and analysis ....'.

Against the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant, the Plaintiff is claiming \$US213,146.70 (about \$HK1,662,544), being goods returned by the Plaintiff's customers under a purported agreement whereby the 2<sup>nd</sup> Defendant is responsible for the full purchase price or the supply of replacements.

The total amount of the many claims in respect of this Writ of Summons, lodged by Multimedia Devices Ltd against the 2 Defendants, is about \$HK11,468,790.

In the latest claim against Tonic Electronics Ltd, Super Victory Enterprises Ltd () is claiming about \$HK670,702 in respect of electronic parts, sold and delivered to the Defendant between March 2, 2009, and July 22, 2009.

Writ ... <u>CLICK TO ORDER FULL ARTICLE</u>

While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published, TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.

If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that which they have read in **TARGET**, please feel free to e-mail your views to <u>editor@targetnewspapers.com</u>. **TARGET** does not guarantee to publish readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the laws of libel.