

**BYD COMPANY LTD:
FOR WHAT REASON WERE THESE CASES ALLOWED TO GO TO COURT ?**

While BYD Company Ltd () (Code: 1211, Main Board, The Stock Exchange of Hongkong Ltd) has only just announced bumper profits for its 2006 Financial Year, it appears to have allowed what seems to be a very tiny matter to take up Management's time in a lower Court in Shanghai, the People's Republic of China (PRC).

According to the database of **TOLFIN** () (The Computerised, Online Financial Intelligence Service and Web-Based, Credit-Checking Provider), last Tuesday, at 9 a.m., Mr Song Ming () (transliteration) went to Court Number 12 at Shanghai Shi Song Jiang Qu Ren Min Fa Yuan () in order to present his case against 2, wholly owned subsidiaries of BYD Company Ltd, the **TOLFIN** Shanghai Court List shows.

Those 2 subsidiaries were:

1. Shanghai BYD Company Ltd (); and,
2. Shanghai BYD Automobile Company Ltd ().

A single judge heard the complaints in respect of the Plaintiff's dispute against the corporate Defendants in what one would have supposed was a relatively simple labour matter ().

But Management of this huge conglomerate, which manufactures motor vehicles and rechargeable batteries, among other things, determined that the case was sufficiently important to allow a judge to make a determination.

TOLFIN's records, shows, also, that during the month of March, Management of BYD had to defend a total of 4 Claims, all of which related to workers' grievances.

The following is a complete list of those cases, not including the Song Ming Claim:

Case Number	Hearing Date	Plaintiff /	Defendant /	Nature
(2007)()513	07/03/2007			
(2007)()514	07/03/2007			
(2007)()1121	21/03/2007			

(2006)()4930	27/03/2007			
--------------	------------	--	--	--

On March 16, 2007, BYD Company Ltd brought out its Annual Report for the Financial Year, ended December 31, 2006.

That ... [CLICK TO ORDER FULL ARTICLE](#)

***While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published,
TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.***

*If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that which
they have read in **TARGET**, please feel free to e-mail your views to
editor@targetnewspapers.com. **TARGET** does not guarantee to publish
readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the laws of libel.*