

**TARGET IS THREATENED !**

A solicitors' firm, acting on behalf of Mr Fan Kin Nang (), a Qualified Accountant, practising in the Hongkong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) of the People's Republic of China (PRC), has threatened **TARGET** (), stating that the conduct of this medium could be reported to the Hongkong Police Force *'for criminal investigation.'*

This is a first for **TARGET**!

Never since **TARGET** was founded in 1971 have the actions of this medium, in reproducing public documents, documents that are readily available to any member of the public upon payment of the prescribed and published fee, been the subject of investigation by the Hongkong Police Force.

But, of course, there is, always, a first time.

Of course, also, it is possible that Mr Fan Kin Nang and his solicitors' firm, Raymond Chan, Kenneth Yuen and Company (), maintain that public documents, filed in Courts of the HKSAR, should be considered *'State Secrets'* in the same way that the Government of the PRC, proper, as opposed to the HKSAR of the PRC, considers that any and all official documents, filed in any public registry, may be considered State Secrets upon a determination of the appropriate authority ... or, even, one PRC-Government bigwig.

The complaint of Mr Fan Kin Nang against **TARGET** – Mr Fan Kin Nang is, presently, working for ThinSoft (Holdings) Incorporated (()) (Code: 8096, The Growth Enterprise Market of The Stock Exchange of Hongkong Ltd) as a Qualified Accountant – is that **TARGET**, on March 12, 2004, published a report with regard to the allegations of [Proview International Holdings Ltd \(\)](#) (Code: 334, Main Board, The Stock Exchange of Hongkong Ltd) against this professional gentleman.

Mr Fan Kin Nang was the Qualified Accountant and Company Secretary of Proview International, having held those positions between June 2, 2003, and November 3, 2003.

Proview International summarily dismissed Mr Fan Kin Nang on November 3, 2003.

District Court Action Number 1176 of 2004, which has, since, been discontinued by Consent Summons, alleges at Paragraphs 8 and 9 of the Statement of Claim, attached to the Writ of Summons:

*'8. On 3rd November 2003, the date on which the Defendant was summarily dismissed, when the Defendant (Mr Fan Kin Nang) was demanded by the Plaintiff (Proview International) to deliver up all the Plaintiff's Documents, the Defendant represented to the Plaintiff that he did not have in his possession, custody or power any of the same and that he had deleted all the files containing the Plaintiff's Documents in his computer.*

*'9. The said representation was made fraudulently, in that the Defendant knew that it was false.*

**PARTICULARS**

- (i) *Subsequent to his dismissal the Defendant commenced proceedings in the Labour Tribunal (being Claim No. LBTC 11085 of 2003) against the Plaintiff claiming for wages in lieu of notice and other payments;*
- (ii) *The Plaintiff discovered, in the course of the said Labour Proceedings, that*

*the Defendant has wrongfully kept certain Plaintiff's Documents including those more particularized in the Schedule hereto in his possession, custody and power which documents contain confidential and/or price-sensitive information in respect of the Plaintiff's business.'*

Proview International sought, on March 3, 2004, the date of the filing of its District Court Writ of Summons against Mr Fan Kin Nang, to obtain an Order that Mr Fan Kin Nang be restrained from using or disclosing any and all of Proview International's documents in his possession or copies thereof except for the purposes of the Labour Tribunal Action and that, within 7 days from the date of the Order, all of the company's documents and copies of those documents be turned over to Proview International.

But today, about 26 months after **TARGET** reproduced the Statement of Claim, attached to Writ of Summons, Number 1176 of 2004, an action which is permitted in accordance with the Laws of the HKSAR, it is stated by Raymond Chan, Kenneth Yuen and Company, acting on behalf of Mr Fan Kin Nang, categorically, that '*even worse, your firm in the absence of any evidence and/or verification simply copy the statement of claim in the District Court ...*'.

This is true: That is, exactly, what **TARGET** did – and is, still, permitted so to do in the HKSAR if a document, filed in an HKSAR Court, is determined to be an Originating Process.

This is the letter that **TARGET** received on Friday, May 26, 2006, from the solicitors' firm, representing Mr Fan Kin Nang:

#### **A Little Bit More About Mr Fan Kin Nang**

At the time that Mr Fan Kin Nang was applying for the job as Qualified Accountant and Company Secretary of Proview International, he was, also, running his own company, namely, Broad Wealth International Ltd (), whose Issued and Fully Paid-Up Share Capital is \$HK2.00.

While he was working, fulltime, at Proview International, he was, also, working, presumably fulltime, for ThinSoft (Holdings) Incorporated, another ... [CLICK TO ORDER FULL ARTICLE](#)

***While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published,  
TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.***

*If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that which they have read in **TARGET**, please feel free to e-mail your views to [editor@targetnewspapers.com](mailto:editor@targetnewspapers.com). **TARGET** does not guarantee to publish readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the laws of libel.*