## A CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT STANDS ACCUSED OF DIDDLING

The season of complaints against certain professionals of the Hongkong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) of the People's Republic of China (PRC) is upon us, it seems, and, following on from the very serious complaint against the solicitors' firm of Laurence Pang and Company (彭澤棠律師事務所) (now, said to be in dissolution, with Mr Laurence Pang Chak Tong as Agent), and its Principal, Mr Laurence Pang Chak Tong (彭澤), a second, almost identical, serious complaint has been made against a member in good standing of the Hongkong Institute of Certified Public Accountants (香港會計師公會) (HKICPA).

The HKICPA member is Mr Elite Man Yee Lai (文而禮), trading as Elite Y.L. Man and Company (文而禮會計師事務所) of the following HKSAR address:

Room 912, Champion Building, Numbers 301-309, Nathan Road, Kowloon, the HKSAR.

According to the HKICPA, Mr Elite Man Yee Lai is a member of The Hongkong Institute of Certified Public Accounts.

According to Guangdong Zhongtie Tianhua Network Technology Company Ltd, however, Mr Elite Man Yee Lai owes this company \$HK350,000 and is refusing to pay up.

The complaint is spelled out in HKSAR District Court Action Number 6151 in the case of:

Guangdong Zhongtie Tianhua Network Technology Company Ltd		1 <sup>st</sup> Plaintiff
Li Luen Ping		2 <sup>nd</sup> Plaintiff
	and	
Man Yee Lai, Elite, trading as Elite Y.L. Man and Company		Defendant

It is alleged that the 1<sup>st</sup> Plaintiff, Guangdong Zhongtie Tianhua Network Technology Company Ltd, did, indeed, pay the sum of \$HK1.55 million to the Defendant, Man Yee Lai, Elite, trading as Elite Y.L. Man and Company, on June 1, 2005, but there was no Letter of Receipt *'received, or authenticated within 30 days from the date of receipt of the sum* (of \$HK1.55 million).'

That, being the case, under the purported terms of the Undertaking, the \$HK1.55 million should have been returned to the designated party, named by the 1<sup>st</sup> Plaintiff, to wit, Li Luen Ping.

It is alleged, at Paragraph 3 of the Statement of Claim, that only the sum of \$HK1.20 million was ever ... <u>CLICK</u> TO ORDER FULL ARTICLE

While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published, TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.

If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that which they have read in **TARGET**, please feel free to e-mail your views to <u>editor@targetnewspapers.com</u> or <u>targnews@hkstar.com</u>. **TARGET** does not guarantee to publish readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the laws of libel.

Site Meter