

**PROVIEW INTERNATIONAL HOLDINGS LTD:  
FORMER COMPANY SECRETARY ACCUSED  
OF BEING A THIEF AND A LIAR**

If the allegations, contained in District Court Writ Number 1176 of 2004, are anywhere near the mark – and **TARGET** is not challenging the veracity of any part of the Statement of Claim, attached to that Writ of Summons – then, the actions of the former Company Secretary of publicly listed [Proview International Holdings Ltd](#) are, without doubt, reprehensible.

Because, the essence of that Statement of Claim is that Mr Fan Kin Nang is both a thief and an utterer of falsehoods.

Proview International (Code: 334, Main Board, The Stock Exchange of Hongkong Ltd) has recently sued Mr Fan Kin Nang, seeking, inter alia:

*‘1. an Order that the Defendant (Mr Fan Kin Nang) be restrained whether by himself or by his employees, agents, contractors or in any way from using or disclosing any of the Plaintiff’s Documents (the Plaintiff is Proview International) or any copies thereof in his possession, custody or power save and except for the purpose of the said Labour Tribunal proceedings being Claim No. LBTC 11085 of 2003;*

*‘2. an order that the Defendant do within 7 days from the date of the order deliver up to the office of the Plaintiff’s solicitors at Hong Kong all the Plaintiff’s Documents and copies thereof which are in paper form;*

*‘3. an order that the Defendant do within 7 days from the date of the order destroy all copies of such Plaintiff’s Documents in any machine-readable form which are in his possession, custody or power;*

*‘4. an order that the Defendant do within 7 days from the date of the order serve on the Plaintiff’s solicitors at their office in Hong Kong an affidavit confirming his full compliance with all the terms of the order made by the Honourable Court herein and that he no longer has in his possession, custody or power any of the Plaintiff’s Documents or any copies of any of them or any parts thereof whether in paper form or machine-readable form;*

*‘5. (i) Damages to be assessed pursuant to paragraph 12 hereinabove; or  
(ii) alternatively, damages to be assessed pursuant to paragraph 13 hereinabove.*

*‘6. Interest pursuant to Section 49 of the District Court Ordinance on the damages found to be due at such rates as the Honourable Court shall think fit from the date of the Writ to the date of judgment and thereafter at judgment rate.*

*‘7. Further and/or other relief.*

*‘8. Costs of this action.’*

This case concerns the actions of Mr Fan Kin Nang, who was the Company Secretary of Proview International between June 2, 2003, and November 3, 2003, the Statement of Claim alleges at Paragraph 3, and who was sacked, summarily, on November 3, 2003.

No reason ... [CLICK TO ORDER FULL ARTICLE](#)

***While TARGET makes every attempt to ensure accuracy of all data published,  
TARGET cannot be held responsible for any errors and/or omissions.***

*If readers feel that they would like to voice their opinions about that which they have read in TARGET, please feel free to e-mail your views to [editor@targetnewspapers.com](mailto:editor@targetnewspapers.com) or [targnews@hkstar.com](mailto:targnews@hkstar.com). TARGET does not guarantee to publish readers' views, but reserves the right so to do subject to the laws of libel.*